



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

fw

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/032,863 | 03/02/1998 | GORDON F. GRIGOR | 00100.98.1117 | 1397 |
| 23418 | 7590 | 03/13/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHLZ 222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601 | | | NGUYEN, KEVIN M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2629 | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 03/13/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/032,863 | GRIGOR ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Kevin M. Nguyen | 2629 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 April 2006.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 24,29-33,38-53 and 56-59 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 24,29-33,38-53 and 56-59 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

| | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 13-16, filed on 1/11/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 24, 29-33, 38-53 and 56-59 under the statutory basis for the previous rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 33 recites the limitation "the" in the display data at line 7, page 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. **Claims 24, 29-33, 38-41 and 57-59** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hogle, IV (US 5,923,307) hereinafter Hogle.

6. **As to claim 24**, Hogle teaches a video graphics processing circuit (fig. 3) comprises:

- a. a processing unit [*a CPU 304*];
- b. memory [*a memory 302*];
- c. a coupling controller [*a forking display driver 201 as corresponding to the switches such that the desired display drivers 35 and 203 are coupled to two display monitors 37 and 207 via display adapters 36 and 205, fig. 3, col. 9, lines 47-50*];
- d. display preferences [*display characteristics, figs. 8(a)-8(g), col. 11, lines 26-47*];
- e. configuration properties [*display properties, fig. 9(a) through fig. 11(c), col. 11, line 48 through col. 12, line 13*];
- f. multiple display devices [*330 and 332, fig. 3*];
- g. a plurality of display drivers [*35 and 203, fig. 6*];
- h. a plurality of screen memories [*col. 6, line 56—col. 7, line 5*];
- i. display controllers (36 and 205, fig. 6);
- j. programming instructions (a specialized program codes, col. 10, lines 25);
- k. simultaneously displaying display data to the multiple displays (fig. 16a, col. 10, lines 52-56);

the program codes (programming instructions) identify said elements (a)-(k) performing and operating the functions of the configuration of multiple monitors 330 and 332 such as said display characteristics, and additional functions of whether the reconfiguration of multiple monitors 330 and 332 such display properties to be displayed the quality of multiple windows/graphics until satisfy. Each of a plurality of

Art Unit: 2629

windows/graphics displays the following/software instructions (programming instructions) in the display properties of the control panel window in response to the switching software in real time, in accordance with each of corresponding screen memories is retrieved from the main memory 302 to be executed by the CPU 304 in order to run smoothly and faster without freezing and being slow, figs. 9b, 10a, and 10b, col. 9, and lines 6-20, col. 10, line 16—col. 11—col.12, line 13.

As to claim 29, Hogle teaches the forking display driver 201 in response with the following instructions (programming instructions) in the display properties of the control panel window as corresponding to the switching software such that the desired display drivers 35 and 203 are automatically coupled to two display monitors 37 and 207 via display adapters 36 and 205 in real time, see figs. 9b, 10a, and 10b.

As to claims 31 and 32, Hogle teaches each of a plurality of windows/graphics displaying the following/software instructions (programming instructions) in the display properties of the control panel window in response to the switching software in real time, each of corresponding screen memories is retrieved from the main memory 302 to be executed by the CPU 304 in order to run smoothly and faster without freezing and being slow, figs. 9b, 10a, and 10b, col. 9, and lines 6-20.

As to claim 30, Hogle teaches the forking display driver 201 in response with the following instructions (programming instructions) in the display properties of the control panel window as corresponding to the switching software such that the desired display drivers 35 and 203 are automatically coupled to three display monitors via display adapters 36 and 205 in real time, see figs. 9b, 10a, 10b, and 17a.

Art Unit: 2629

7. The limitation of **claim 33** is similar to those of claim 24, though in **a digital storage medium** executed by a processing unit, therefore the rejection of claim 33 will be treated using the same rationale as claim 24.

8. Claim 38 shares the same limitations as those of claim 29 and therefore the rationale for rejection will be the same.

9. Claim 39 shares the same limitations as those of claim 30 and therefore the rationale for rejection will be the same.

10. Claim 40 shares the same limitations as those of claim 31 and therefore the rationale for rejection will be the same.

11. Claim 41 shares the same limitations as those of claim 32 and therefore the rationale for rejection will be the same.

12. As to **claim 57**, Hogle teaches a video graphics processing circuit (fig. 3) comprises:

a processing unit [*a CPU 304*];

memory [*a memory 302*];

a coupling controller [a forking display driver 201 as corresponding to the switches such that the desired display drivers 35 and 203 are coupled to two display monitors 37 and 207 via display adapters 36 and 205, fig. 3, col. 9, lines 47-50];

display preferences [display characteristics, figs. 8(a)-8(g), col. 11, lines 26-47];

configuration properties [display properties, fig. 9(a) through fig. 11(c), col. 11, line 48 through col. 12, line 13];

multiple display devices [330 and 332, fig. 3];

programming instructions (a specialized program codes, col. 10, lines 25); the program codes (programming instructions) identify said elements performing and operating the functions of the configuration of multiple monitors 330 and 332 such as said display characteristics, and additional functions of whether the reconfiguration of multiple monitors 330 and 332 such display properties to be displayed the quality of multiple windows/graphics until satisfy. Each of a plurality of windows/graphics displays the following/software instructions (programming instructions) in the display properties of the control panel window in response to the switching software in real time, in accordance with each of corresponding screen memories is retrieved from the main memory 302 to be executed by the CPU 304 in order to run smoothly and faster without freezing and being slow, figs. 9b, 10a, and 10b, col. 9, and lines 6-20, col. 10, line 16—col. 11—col. 12, line 13.

13. As to **claim 58**, the limitation of claim 58 are similar to those of claim 57 though in **method** form without the claimed limitation “a video graphic card, a processing unit, a memory stores program instructions, and a coupling controller”, therefore the rejection of claim 58, will be treated using the same rationale as claim 57.

As to claim 59, the method of claim 58 comprising switches to couple different screen memories with different controllers to output display data to the multiples displays, whereas Hogle teaches each of a plurality of windows/graphics displaying the following/software instructions (programming instructions) in the display properties of the control panel window in response to the switching software in real time, each of corresponding screen memories is retrieved from the main memory 302 to be executed

Art Unit: 2629

by the CPU 304 in order to run smoothly and faster without freezing and being slow, figs. 9b, 10a, and 10b, col. 9, and lines 6-20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

15. **Claims 42-53 and 56** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hogle, IV (US 5,923,307) hereinafter Hogle in view of Wong et al (US 5,963,192) hereinafter Wong.

16. As to **claim 42**, Hogle teaches a video graphics processing circuit (fig. 3) comprises:

a plurality of drivers (35 and 203 fig. 6);

memory [*a memory 302*];

screen memory, col. 6, lines 56—col. 7, line 5;

a coupling controller [a forking display driver 201 as corresponding to the switches such that the desired display drivers 35 and 203 are coupled to two display monitors 37 and 207 via display adapters 36 and 205, fig. 3, col. 9, lines 47-50];

display preferences [the details of display characteristics, figs. 8(a)-8(g), col. 11, lines 26-47];

configuration properties [display properties, fig. 9(a) through fig. 11(c), col. 11, line 48 through col. 12, line 13];

multiple display devices [330 and 332, fig. 3];
a plurality of display drivers [35 and 203, fig. 6];
a plurality of screen memories [col. 6, line 56—col. 7, line 5];
display controllers (36 and 205, fig. 6);
programming instructions (a specialized program codes, col. 10, lines 25);
simultaneously displaying display data to the multiple displays (fig. 16a, col. 10, lines 52-56);
the program codes (programming instructions) identify said elements performing and operating the functions of the configuration of multiple monitors 330 and 332 such as said display characteristics, and additional functions of whether the reconfiguration of multiple monitors 330 and 332 such display properties to be displayed the quality of multiple windows/graphics until satisfy. Each of a plurality of windows/graphics displays the following/software instructions (programming instructions) in the display properties of the control panel window in response to the switching software in real time, in accordance with each of corresponding screen memories is retrieved from the main memory 302 to be executed by the CPU 304 in order to run smoothly and faster without freezing and being slow, figs..9b, 10a, and 10b, col. 9, and lines 6-20, col. 10, line 16—col. 11—col.12, line 13.

Accordingly, Hogle teaches all of the claimed limitation, except for a plurality of display controllers included on a single video graphics card.

However, Wong teaches a single video card 12 comprising a display processor 40 (corresponding to a first display controller) and a display processor 42

Art Unit: 2629

(corresponding to a second display controller) are arranged on said single video card 12 thereof in fig. 2.

As to claim 43, the video graphics processing circuit of claim 42, further comprises a graphics engine, whereas Hogle teaches a graphics engine which is a graphic device 34, fig. 6.

As to claim 44, the video graphics processing circuit of claim 42, further comprises a user interface, whereas Hogle discloses a graphical user interface (GUI) 31, fig. 6.

As to claim 45, Hogle teaches at least of a plurality of windows/graphics displaying the following/software instructions (operational rules) in the display properties of the control panel window in response to the switching software in real time, each of corresponding screen memories is retrieved from the main memory 302 to be executed by the CPU 304 in order to run smoothly and faster without freezing and being slow, figs. 9b, 10a, and 10b, col. 9, and lines 6-20.

As to claim 46, Hogle teaches each of a plurality of windows/graphics displaying the following/software instructions (programming instructions) in the display properties of the control panel window in response to the switching software in real time, each of corresponding screen memories is retrieved from the main memory 302 to be executed by the CPU 304 in order to run smoothly and faster without freezing and being slow, figs. 9b, 10a, and 10b, col. 9, and lines 6-20.

As to claim 47, Hogle teaches the forking display driver 201 in response with the following instructions (programming instructions) in the display properties of the control

panel window as corresponding to the switching software such that the desired display drivers 35 and 203 are automatically coupled to three display monitors via display adapters 36 and 205 in real time, see figs. 9b, 10a, 10b, and 17a.

As to claim 48, Hogle teaches each of a plurality of windows/graphics displaying the following/software instructions (programming instructions) in the display properties of the control panel window in response to the switching software in real time, each of corresponding screen memories is retrieved from the main memory 302 to be executed by the CPU 304 in order to run smoothly and faster without freezing and being slow, figs. 9b, 10a, and 10b, col. 9, and lines 6-20.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Wong into Hogle to create the claimed invention. It would have been obvious to modify Hogle to form the display processor 40 and the display processor 42 on a common video card 12 as taught by Wong, because this would improve the quality of the image being displayed without flickering, while eliminating full line buffers result in a saving of approximately 30,000 transistors per buffer and a corresponding reduction in operating power (see Wong's abstract).

17. As to **claim 49**, Hogle teaches a video graphics processing circuit (fig. 3) comprises:

screen memory, col. 6, lines 56—col. 7, line 5;
a plurality of drivers (35 and 203 fig. 6);

a coupling module (*a switching software in which a forking display driver 201 programmability coupled to the desired display drivers 35 and 203 and two display monitors 37 and 207 via display adapters 36 and 205, fig. 3, col. 9, lines 47-50*);
a coupling controller [*a forking display driver 201 as corresponding to the physical switch such that the desired display drivers 35 and 203 are coupled to two display monitors 37 and 207 via display adapters 36 and 205, fig. 3, col. 9, lines 47-50*];
configuration properties [display properties, fig. 9(a) through fig. 11(c), col. 11, line 48 through col. 12, line 13];
a plurality of display drivers [*35 and 203, fig. 6*];
simultaneously displaying display data to the multiple displays (fig. 16a, col. 10, lines 52-56);
the program codes (programming instructions) identify said elements (304, 302, 201, 330, 332) performing and operating the functions of the configuration of multiple monitors 330 and 332 such as said display characteristics, and additional functions of whether the reconfiguration of multiple monitors 330 and 332 such display properties to be displayed the quality of multiple windows/graphics until satisfy. Each of a plurality of windows/graphics displays the following/software instructions (programming instructions) in the display properties of the control panel window in response to the switching software in real time, in accordance with each of corresponding screen memories is retrieved from the main memory 302 to be executed by the CPU 304 in order to run smoothly and faster without freezing and being slow, figs. 9b, 10a, and 10b, col. 9, and lines 6-20, col. 10, line 16—col. 11—col. 12, line 13.

Accordingly, Hogle teaches all of the claimed limitation, except for a plurality of display controllers included on a single video graphics card.

However, Wong teaches a single video card 12 comprising a display processor 40 (corresponding to a first display controller) and a display processor 42 (corresponding to a second display controller) is arranged on said single video card 12 thereof in fig. 2.

As to claim 50, the method of claim 49 comprising switches to couple different screen memories with different controllers to output display data to the multiples displays, whereas Hogle teaches the forking display driver 201 as corresponding to the physical switches such that the desired display drivers 35 and 203 are automatically coupled to two display monitors 37 and 207 via display adapters 36 and 205 in real time.

As to claim 51, Hogle teaches GUI 31 coupling to the forking display driver 201.

As to claim 52, Hogle teaches screen memory in col. 6, lines 56-col. 7, line 5, and col. 9, lines 6-20.

As to claim 53, figs. 9b, 10a, and 10b of Hogle show the display properties in the control panel window, which identify the desired displays.

As to claim 56, Hogle teaches dual image of the object appears simultaneously on monitors 1 and 2, col. 10, lines 54-56.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined Wong into Hogle to create the claimed invention. It would have been obvious to modify Hogle to form the display processor 40 and the

Art Unit: 2629

display processor 42 on a common video card 12 as taught by Wong, because this would improve the quality of the image being displayed without flickering, while eliminating full line buffers result in a saving of approximately 30,000 transistors per buffer and a corresponding reduction in operating power (see Wong's abstract).

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24, 29-33, 38-53 and 56-59 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN M. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-7697. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THU from 9:00-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, a supervisor RICHARD A. HJERPE can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8000.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the Patent Application Information Retrieval system, see <http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/032,863

Art Unit: 2629

Page 14

Kevin M. Nguyen

Kevin M. Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2629

KMN

March 8, 2007